

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/806,216	04/25/2001	Herbert Wegner	F-6912	5061	
7:	590 05/27/2003				
Jordan & Hamburg			EXAMINER		
122 East 42nd : New York, NY	Street		BUSHEY, CH	HARLES S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724	ly	
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	_				74-10		
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	,,		
•	1	09/806,2	16	WEGNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit			
		Scott Bu		1724			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears on th	cov rsh etw	ith the correspondence addre	ss		
THE MA - Extensi after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ons of time may be available under the provision X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum so to reply within the set or extended period for repl ly received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exmunication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and v	vent, however, may a tutory minimum of thi vill expire SIX (6) MOI plication to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on	,				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is	s non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) 🛛 C	claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
5) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) <u> </u>	Claim(s)is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 🔾	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) ⊠ (8	Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restric	tion and/or election re	quirement.				
Applicatio	n Papers						
-	ne specification is objected to by t						
10)∐ TI	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[∑	All b) Some * c) None of:						
1	. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have be	en received.				
2	2. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have be	en received in	Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)∐ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	oplication).		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim	anguage provisional a	pplication has	been received.			
Attachment(
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	•		v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1			

Application/Control Number: 09/806,216

Art Unit: 1724

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A, as depicted by Figure 1; and

Species B, as depicted by Figure 2.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 2-4, 5(2,3), 6, 7, 15(2,3), 16-18, 25-28, 31, 32, 42-44, and 50-52 read on Species A. Claims 8-14, 15(8,9), 19, 20(19{1,8,9}), 22, 33-40, 45, 48, 53, and 55 read on Species B.

The following claims are generic: Claims 1, 5(1), 15(1), 21, 23, 24, 29, 30, 41, 46, 47, 49, and 54 are generic to Species A and B.

Applicant should note that the language of claim 19 reads on Species B. Accordingly, claim 19(2,3) and claim 20(19{2,3}) are improper as they are dependent upon claims (2 and 3) which

Application/Control Number: 09/806,216

Art Unit: 1724

read only upon Species A. Therefore, claims 19(2,3) and 20(19{2,3}) amount to hybrid claims of the two patentably distinct species, which are not enabled or sufficiently disclosed by the application, as originally filed.

- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Species A lacks the gas supplying pipeline including screens for providing the flow forming means behind the atomizing nozzle. Species B lacks an annular nozzle within the atomizing container for forming the aerosol.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is (703) 308-3581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Simmons can be reached on (703) 308-1972. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/806,216

Art Unit: 1724

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

csb July 16, 2002

7-16-02